REMARKS

[0003] Applicant respectfully requests reconsideration and allowance of all of the

claims of the application. Claims 22-42 are presently pending. Claims amended herein

are 22, 25-29, 32-36, 39-42. Claims withdrawn or cancelled herein are 1-21. No new

claims were added.

Statement of Substance of Interview

[0004] Examiner Le Nguyen graciously spoke with me—the undersigned

representative for the Applicant—on May 10, 2007. Applicant greatly appreciates her

willingness to talk. Such willingness is invaluable to both of us in our common goal of

an expedited prosecution of this patent application.

[0005] During the interview, we discussed how the claims differed from the cited art,

namely "UNIX". Without conceding the propriety of the rejections and in the interest of

expediting prosecution, I also proposed several possible clarifying amendments.

[0006] I understood Examiner Nguyen to tentatively agree that independent claim 22

would be patentable over the cited art if amended as discussed during the interview, to

further clarify the claimed "displaying on the single computer only one of the remote

process enabled workspace environments as active at a time." I also understood her to agree

that dependent claim 26 would be allowable based on amendments made to claim 22.

Examiner Nguyen indicated that she would need to review the cited art and/or do another

search.

Serial No.: 10/606,591 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0492USC1 Atty/Agent: Beatrice Koempel-Thomas RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION

lee@hayes The Business of IP"

[0007] Applicant herein amends the claims in the manner discussed during the

interview. Accordingly, Applicant submits that the pending claims are allowable over the

cited art of record for at least the reasons discussed during the interview.

Formal Request for an Interview

[0008] If the Examiner's reply to this communication is anything other than

allowance of all pending claims, then I formally request an interview with the Examiner.

I encourage the Examiner to call me—the undersigned representative for the Applicant—

so that we can talk about this matter so as to resolve any outstanding issues quickly and

efficiently over the phone.

[0009] Please contact me or my assistant to schedule a date and time for a

telephone interview that is most convenient for both of us. While email works great for

us, I welcome your call to either of us as well. Our contact information may be found on

the last page of this response.

Formal Matters

[0010]

This section addresses any formal matters (e.g., objections) raised by the

Examiner.

Specification

[0011] The Examiner objects to the specification for not making reference to items

49 and 53 of Figure 1, and items 220a, 220b, and 220c in Figure 3. Herein, Applicant

amends these paragraphs, as shown above, to correct the informalities noted by the

Examiner

Serial No.: 10/606,591 Atty Docket No.: MS1-0492USC1

Atty/Agent: Beatrice Koempel-Thomas RESPONSE TO NON-FINAL OFFICE ACTION lee@hayes The Business of IP **

Drawings

[0012] The Examiner objects to the specification for not mentioning items 220a-c

in Figure 3. Even though this referencing has been corrected in the specification, the

Applicant submits a replacement drawing for Figure 3 to correct typographical errors in

items 220b and 220c in Figure 3.

Claim Amendments and Additions

[0013] Without conceding the propriety of the rejections herein and in the interest of

expediting prosecution, Applicant amends claims 22, 25-29, 32-36 and 39-42 herein.

Substantive Matters

Claim Rejections under § 112

[0014] Claims 26, 27, 33, 34, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, 2nd ¶.

In light of the amendments presented herein, as well as the discussion during the above discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot.

Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Claim Rejections under §§ 102 and/or 103

[0015] Claims 22-25, 28-32, 35-39 and 42 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 102 and

claims 26, 27, 33, 34, 40 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103. In light of the

amendments presented herein and the decisions/agreements reached during the above-

discussed Examiner interview, Applicant submits that these rejections are moot.

Accordingly, Applicant asks the Examiner to withdraw these rejections.

Dependent Claims

[0016] In addition to its own merits, each dependent claim is allowable for the

same reasons that its base claim is allowable. Applicant requests that the Examiner

withdraw the rejection of each dependent claim where its base claim is allowable.

Conclusion

[0017] All pending claims are in condition for allowance. Applicant respectfully

that prevent issuance of this application, the $\underline{\textbf{Examiner is urged to contact me before}}$

issuing a subsequent Action. Please call/email me or my assistant at your convenience.

Respectfully Submitted,

Dated: 05/25/7009

۷۰ --

Beatrice Koempel-Thomas Reg. No. 58,213 (509) 324-9256 x259

bea@leehayes.com www.leehayes.com

My Assistant: Carly Bokarica (509) 324-9256 x264 carly@leehayes.com